

DRAFT AIDE MEMOIRE

AFRICAN GROUP

**UNITED NATIONS DECLARATION ON THE
RIGHTS OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLE**

**9 November 2006
New York**

1.0 Introduction

1.1 It is noted that the Declaration was adopted by vote at the Human Rights Council. It would have been desirable for a document as important to the international community as this one to be adopted by consensus. We should as a matter of principle and solidarity with indigenous peoples of the world aim for the adoption by the General Assembly of a document that enjoys broad support of all Member States of the United Nations.

1.2 It is clear that the current text does not enjoy consensus. For some member States the Declaration as it stands poses fundamental constitutional and political problems that would prove impossible to implement. However, we believe that there is still room for consensus.

1.3 The concerns of the African Group relates to the following provisions of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples:

2.0 Definition of "Indigenous Peoples"

2.1 The absence of a definition of indigenous peoples in the text creates legal problems for the implementation of the Declaration. It is therefore important that the Declaration's jurisdictional clause defining the rights holder should be included in the text.

2.2 It is equally important to bear in mind that Africa is still recovering from the effects of ethnic based conflicts. Allowing the Declaration to be adopted by the General Assembly without a definition of what is or who is indigenous would not only be legally incorrect, but can also create tensions amongst ethnic groups and instability within sovereign States. A policy document which imposes responsibilities must also define the nature and scope of such obligations.

3.0 Self-Determination

3.1 The principle of self-determination only applies to peoples under colonial and/or foreign occupation that is people residing in territories or areas which fall within the jurisdiction of the UN Trusteeship System, as enumerated in Article 77 of the United Nations Charter as well as those non-self governing peoples within the purview of Article 3 of the UN Charter. Implicitly recognizing the rights of indigenous peoples to self determination in preambular paragraph 13 and Articles 3 and 4 of the Declaration can be misrepresented as conferring a unilateral right of self determination and possible secession upon a specific subset of the national populace, thus threatening the political unity and the territorial integrity of any country.

3.2 The African Group is concerned that as presently drafted, the Declaration may be misunderstood as embracing and promoting self-determination within nation states. Its very basis and content, namely ethnicity, culture and language could easily become a rationale for other groups seeking exclusivity within nation states. The United Nations has the responsibility to protect the integrity of nation States, it cannot be seen as abetting and promoting dynamics that are contrary to the Charter of the United Nations and that can unravel unity and territorial integrity of Member States. It is therefore important that a document adopted by the General Assembly should be watertight to leave no room for misinterpretation

3.3 The right to self determination as stated in Article 3 of the Declaration is lifted wholesale from the United Nations Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning friendly relations and co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations which was adopted on 24 October 1970 by resolution 2625 (xxv). It is essential to provide balance in the text by including Articles 6 and 7 of the 1960 Declaration. Without those paragraphs the Declaration would not only bring new meaning to the concept of self-determination but would contradict other international instruments adopted before it.

Articles 6 and 7 of the 1960 Declaration

6) Any attempt aimed at the particular or total disruption of the national unity and territorial integrity of a country is incompatible with the purpose and principles of the Charter of the United Nations; and,

7) All States shall observe faithfully and strictly the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations, the universal Declaration of Human Rights and the present Declaration on the basis of equality, non-interference in the internal affairs of all States, and respect for the sovereign rights of all peoples and their territorial integrity."

4.0 Article 5 of the Declaration States that *Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain and strengthen their political, legal economic, social and cultural institution, while retaining their rights to participate fully, if they choose to do so, in the political, economic, social and cultural life of the State.* This article contradicts constitutions of a number of African countries and if adopted would therefore create constitutional problems for African countries.

- 5.0 Article 9 of the Declaration "*Indigenous peoples and individuals have the right to belong to an indigenous community or nation, in accordance with the traditions and customs of the community or nations concerned. No discrimination of any kind may arise from the exercise of such a right*". The current borders of African countries were artificially drawn by colonial powers. The borders cut across or divided members of the same tribal communities. There is a real danger therefore that this clause can be interpreted to mean that tribal communities can choose to belong to one country whilst they are inhabitants of another.
- 6.0 Article 19 of the Declaration provides that "*States shall consult and cooperate in good faith with the indigenous peoples concerned through their own representative institutions in order to obtain their free, prior and informed consent before adopting and implementing legislative or administrative measures that may affect them*". The African Group is concerned that this can be interpreted to confer upon a sub national group a power of veto over the laws of a democratic legislature. The Declaration must be explicitly clear that this is not the intended objective.
- 7.0 Article 26 of the Declaration provides that "*Indigenous Peoples have the right to the lands, territories and resources which they have traditionally owned, occupied, or otherwise used or acquired*". This provision will be unworkable in the context of the countries concerned. In accordance with the constitutional provisions of these countries, control over land and natural resources is the responsibility of the State.
- 8.0 Article 37 of the Declaration provides that "*Indigenous people have the right to the recognition, observance and enforcement of treaties, agreements and other constructive arrangements concluded with States or their successors and to have States honour and respect such treaties, agreements and other constructive arrangements*". The right of recognition, observance and enforcement of treaties and agreements is the responsibility of the State. The African Group has serious reservations regarding the implications of this Article.
- 9.0 Conclusion
- 9.1 It is against this background that the seeking Group is seeking deferment on the adoption of this Declaration. The African Group is very sensitive to the concerns that this document has been on the negotiating table for a long time. It is not our intention to prolong negotiations of the text but as we have stated at the beginning we are committed to ensuring that the United Nations adopts a document that enjoys broad support and that gives real meaning to the rights of the Indigenous People of the World.

9.2 Others have raised the point that the Declaration is not a legally binding document therefore we can just choose to ignore some of the concerns the Group has with this document. It must be appreciated that for the common people in the street of Africa, there is no difference between a politically non-binding Declaration and a Treaty. What is important to them would be the fact that Governments or the United Nations have committed themselves to specific actions.

9.3 Moreover, after adoption the Declaration would form part of international customary law and Member States would be expected to abide by it. Political Declarations are in themselves important because Member States should make political pronouncements which they intend to implement. In this respect, it would be inappropriate to adopt the Declaration with its shortcomings simply because it is considered to be a Declaration and therefore not legally binding. Member States must be concerned about how they can give concrete meaning to the Declaration. If it is envisaged that there would be legal and constitutional implications arising from the adoption of the Declaration then the time to address such matters is before and not after adoption.

9.4 The African Group is proposing that we seek deferment on action on the Declaration for one year to allow the time to address the concerns raised. We propose that we introduce a resolution containing the following language for action at the Third Committee. We request your understanding and co-operation by giving us an opportunity to address potential problems inherent in this Declaration.